

Erratum to “A Distributed Algorithm for Average Consensus on Strongly Connected Weighted Digraphs” [Automatica 50 (3) (2014) 946-951]

Attilio Priolo ^a Andrea Gasparri ^a Eduardo Montijano ^{b,c} Carlos Sagues ^c

^aDepartment of Engineering, Roma Tre University, Rome, Italy.

^bCentro Universitario de la Defensa (CUD), Zaragoza, Spain.

^cInstituto de Investigación en Ingeniería de Aragón (I3A), Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain.

The purpose of this note is to correct Proposition 3 in Priolo et al. (2014), where the diagonalizability of the matrix C needs to be considered in the Assumptions of Proposition 3. More precisely, it should read as follows.

Proposition 3 *Let us assume the multi-agent system applies the modified consensus algorithm give in eq. (8) with the Perron matrix C diagonalizable. Then, the disagreement vector $\varphi(k)$ can be bounded as:*

$$\|\varphi(k)\| \leq \chi_1 k |\lambda_{C_2}|^k + \chi_2 |\lambda_{C_2}|^k,$$

with $\|\cdot\|$ the Euclidean norm and $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ two positive constant values.

Proof:

The proof is the same as presented in Proposition 3 in Priolo et al. (2014), where the diagonalizability of matrix C is used to introduce γ_1 in eq.(12).

Further, the bound to t_{211} in the second equation of the right column in page 949 has to be re-derived, and corrected to

$$t_{211} \leq \sqrt{n} \left\| \epsilon(j) - \mathbf{w}^T \epsilon(j) \mathbf{1} \right\|_{\infty} \\ \leq 2 \sqrt{n} \max_i |x_i(0)| \underbrace{\max_i \left| \frac{\delta_{ii}(j-1) - \delta_{ii}(j)}{n \delta_{ii}(j) \delta_{ii}(j)} \right|}_{t_{211}}.$$

Thus, the constant χ_{11} in eq. (14) becomes

$$\chi_{11} = 2 \max_i |x_i(0)| \frac{\gamma_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\lambda_{C_2}|} \right) \sqrt{2}}{n d^2}. \quad \square$$

Email addresses: priolo@dia.uniroma3.it (Attilio Priolo), gasparri@dia.uniroma3.it (Andrea Gasparri), emonti@unizar.es (Eduardo Montijano), csagues@unizar.es (Carlos Sagues).

References

- A. Priolo, A. Gasparri, E. Montijano, and C. Sagues. A distributed algorithm for average consensus on strongly connected weighted digraphs. *Automatica*, 50(3):946 – 951, 2014.